## 

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) <u>Mr. Phong H. Nguyen</u> .	(3) <u>Ms. Michelle Lester</u> .
(2) Mr. Timothy Eley.	(4)
Date of Interview: 31 August 2005.	
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐	☐ applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) If Yes, brief description:	□ No.
Claim(s) discussed: 1, 5.g. 13	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached. g)  □	] was not reached. h) □ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTIVITIENT (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the la GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side of the claim language to over the City while (6.739 075 B2) when well-	est Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS HE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FILE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See or on attached sheet.  The physic and It was agreed

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required